

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/048,168                      | 06/10/2002        | Heinz Kern           | 306.41102 X00                        | 4379             |
| 20457 7                         | 590 04/09/2003    |                      |                                      |                  |
| ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS |                   |                      | EXAMINER                             |                  |
|                                 | SEVENTEENTH STREE | Т                    | HAYES, BRET C  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |
| ARLINGTON                       | , VA 22209        |                      |                                      |                  |
|                                 |                   | /                    | 3644                                 |                  |
|                                 |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003              |                  |
|                                 |                   |                      |                                      |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| V   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | $\Lambda$     |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/048,168   | KERN, HEINZ   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  | 77            |  |  |  |  |
|   | Bret C Hayes   | 3644  |               |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence ad  | dress         |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE                             | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |               |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <del></del>  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| , <u> </u>  | s action is non-final.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   | en pario gadyro, 1000 o.e. 11, 1   | 00 0.0. 2.0.  |               |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | vn from consideration.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | ·.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2002 is/are: a)[   | $\square$ accepted or b) $igotimes$ objected to by t   | he Examiner.  |               |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |               |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a)  approved b)  disappro  | ved by the Examine  | er.           |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep   | •  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer.  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | )-(d) or (f).   |               |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>  | s have been received.  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>  | s have been received in Applicati  | on No   |               |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>   | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   | Stage         |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | •  |   | application). |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro  | , ,  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120  | and/or 121.   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal F  | (PTO-413) Paper No(<br>Patent Application (PTO  |               |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "2" and "7" have both been used to designate "through-contact points" or "through-contacting points".

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "4" has been used to designate both "the incandescent wire" and "the back".

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## **Specification**

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or
  - REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

Art Unit: 3644

(1) Field of the Invention.

(2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: whenever an item having a reference character appears within the specification, the reference character must also appear with it.
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "[t]his invention relates to" should be removed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 2 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Regarding claim 2, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 3644

Ų

8. Claim 3 recites the limitations "the conductor ends" and "the ends" in line 2; "the other" and "the remaining ends" in line 3; "the printed circuit traces", "the connection surfaces" and "the ignition bridge" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

9. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the electrical printed circuit traces" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Further, claim 4 is rejected because claim 1 references item (4) as an ignition element while claim 4 references (4) as the ignition bridge.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US Patent No. 4,651,254 to Brede et al. ('254).
- 12. '254 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. '254 discloses: (claim 1) pyrotechnic primer 13 for igniting propellant powder 16, the primer 13 having an ignition element 41 and flat coils 3 in which the energy required is transferred by electromagnetic means beginning at col. 3, line 30 the ignition element 41 and the coils 3 being situated on a common, flat, consumable support material beginning at col. 1, line 57; (claim 2) the entire support material being consumable as set forth at col. 4, line 38; (claim 3) producing a three-dimensional cylindrical coil best seen in Figs. 3 5, by laying conductor ends being in one plane on top of other ends and making a contact between them, with remaining ends of the

Art Unit: 3644

را

circuit traces forming the connection surfaces of the element 41 – as set forth at col. 2, line 14; and (claim 4) the electrical circuit traces being copper – set forth at col. 1, line 61.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Brede et al. (\*254).
- 15. '254 discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as applied to claim 1 above.
- 16. Regarding claim 2, '254 does not explicitly disclose the entire support material consisting of paper or nitro-cellulose. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use paper or nitro-cellulose as set forth in US Patent No. 4,715,894 to Holtzman et al., col. 1, line 63 and most specifically, line 65, "paper" being well known in the manufacture of printed circuit boards (PCB's), since it was known in the explosive and circuit board arts that paper and nitro-cellulose materials are non-conductive and flammable, and thus, combustible and consumable materials. Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any combustible and/or consumable material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,168

Art Unit: 3644

17. Regarding claim 3, '254 does not explicitly disclose the cylindrical "tube-like" structure

described within the Applicant's specification. However, it would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shape the device of '254 in

any desired manner, since there is no invention in merely changing the shape or form of an

article without changing its function except in a design patent. Eskimo Pie Corp. v. Levous et al.,

3 USPQ 23.

18. Regarding claim 4, '254 does not explicitly disclose the traces being preferable applied to

the support material using screen-printing and consisting of silver or copper conductive paste.

However, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the

device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at

telephone number (703) 306-0553. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through

Friday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles Jordan, can be reached at (703) 306-4159. The fax number for this group is

(703) 305-7687.

bh

SUPERVISORY PATENT CAMPIER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

4/2/03

Page 6